Biomass Production Chain and Growth Simulation Model for Kenaf

University of Thessaly *(UTH)* Department of Agriculture, Crop Production & Agricultural Environment

Project: BIOKENAF (QLK5-CT-2002-01729)

5^{rth} technical meeting, Catania 5-6/7/05



Experimental year 2004

WP2 (tasks 2.2 and 2.3)

Adaptability and Productivity field experiments

Responsible:

Research group:

Scientific group:

Technical group:

Ass. Prof. Dr. N.G. Danalatos

Archontoulis S. Gintsioudis I.

Skoufogianni E. Giannoulis K. Chatzidimopoulos M.

Papavassiliou S. Papavassiliou V.



Experiments established <u>exactly</u> at the same plots as the experimental year 2003

The field experiment was carried out on a deep, fertile, loamy soil located in Palamas (Karditsa, western Thessaly, Greece, 3 km south east of the village of Palamas, coordinates: 39°25'43.4" N, 22°05'09.7" E, altitude 107.5 m) in 2004

The soil is classified as Aquic Xerofluvent, having groundwater table fluctuating from some 150-200 cm below the surface in May (180 cm on June 1st)

Climate data: Temperature / precipitation



Effective rainfall: 176 mm (Start June – End October)

[1]

Climate data, Cumulative Irradiance



[2]

[1]

A 2x2x2 factorial completely randomized block design in 3 blocks

Factors:

Variety:	V ₁ = Tainnung 2 V ₂ = Everglades 41
Sowing date:	$S_1 = 01/06/04$ $S_2 = 01/07/04$
Plant density:	$D_1 = 20$ plants m ⁻² $D_2 = 40$ plants m ⁻²

(in reality for the D_2 plantation achieved 30 pl m⁻²)

Basal dressing of 50 kg P and 100 kg K ha⁻¹ (29/5/2004)

Top dressing of 100 kg N ha⁻¹ (plant height 50 cm)

Crop received drip irrigation (total 500 mm) until 07/10/2004

<u>Measurements</u>: plant height, stem diameter, number of nodes per plant, LAI, SLA, fresh and dry biomass productivity (leaves, stems, storage organs) of the crop

<u>Dates of harvests</u>: 4/7, 21/7, 4/8, 19/8, 8/9, 2/10, 30/10 and 19/11/2004.

Soil: water content (g g⁻¹) in 3 depths and 3 Irrigation intervals

[3]

Photosynthesis data:

Radiation (0-1000 W m⁻²) x Temperature (5-40 °C) x Development stage (July-August-September) x variety (Tainnung 2, Everglades 41)

Flowering:

	0%	10%	50%
S ₁ : Tainnung	270	282	290
Everglades	282	289	297
S ₂ : Tainnung	288	296	303
Everglades	291	298	308

[4]

Results:

- Plant height (cm)
- Stem diameter (cm)
- Number of main nodes (stem) per plant
- Dry / fresh ratio
- Specific leaf area (kg m⁻²)
- Leaf area index (m² leaf / m² ground)
- Dry stem biomass (t ha-1)
- Total dry biomass (t ha-1)
- Photosynthesis (light- and temperature- response curve) ¹⁰

Task 2.2, Plant height (cm)



Task 2.2, Stem diameter (cm)



Task 2.2, Leaf area index (m² m⁻²)



Task 2.2, Specific Leaf area (kg m⁻²)



Task 2.2, Dry stem Biomass (t ha⁻¹)



Task 2.2, Total dry Biomass (t ha⁻¹)





Task 2.2, dry / fresh; nodes per plant



Moisture of plant increase with growing period. The same value during the last harvest Strong linear increase of node number with basal stem diameter

Agross = Amax * $(1 - e^{-la * \epsilon / Amax})$

Agross	: gross assimilation rate	[kgCO ₂ ha ⁻¹ h ⁻¹],
Amax	: maximum gross assimilation rate	[kgCO ₂ ha ⁻¹ h ⁻¹],
la	: absorbed PAR, 46% of the (measured) global	[W m ⁻²],
3	: initial light use efficiency	$[kgCO_2ha^{-1}h^{-1}W^{-1}m^2]$

Example:

variety "Everglades 41"; day "11/8/04"

Gross assimilation rate versus absorbed photosynthetic active radiation for 3 temperatures regimes (23, 28, 33 °C)

Task 2.2, Light response curve

simulated

20

10

0 + 0 1:1

10

20

30

measured

40

50



0,4

٤

Increasing temperature \rightarrow decrease quantum efficiency

0,38

٤

[2]

0,28

20

٤

High temperature \rightarrow photoinhibition



The effect of three growth stages on net assimilation rate of Tainnung 2 under different temperature regimes.

Data collected under saturated light condition (global 2000 µ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). Each value is an average value

During cropping period... biomass increase \rightarrow increase respiration (growth + maintenance) \rightarrow decrease net assimilation rate ²¹

Task 2.2, Transpiration efficiency



Transpiration efficiency of Tainnung 2 under different temperature regimes and for 3 growth stage.

Data collected under saturated light condition (global 2000 µ mol m⁻² s⁻¹). Each value is an average value

Under stress condition \rightarrow stomata close \rightarrow decrease efficiency

I 300 35 I 600 Temperature response <u>i 900</u> curve of Everglades 41 30 I 1200 11500 under different light 25 I 1800 intensities (µmol m⁻²s⁻¹) 1 2000 20 Data recorded on ∢ 15 11/7/2004 10 A: net assimilation rate (µ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) 5 Leaf Temperature °C 0 10 0 30 20 40 Leaf temp

High temperature assimilation inhibit (damage PSI, PSII; electron transport) Data on low temperature not presented 23

[1]





Temperature response curve of Everglades 41 under different light intensities (µmol m⁻²s⁻¹)

Data recorded on 11/8/2004

A: net assimilation rate (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹)

Leaf temperature °C

And one month later...

Most of data recorded under constant-free condition

[1]

A 3x4 factorial completely randomized spit-plot design was used in 3 blocks

Factors: I = IrrigationN = Fertilization

 $I_1 = 25\%$ (125 mm) $I_2 = 50\%$ (250 mm) $I_3 = 100\%$ (500 mm) $N_0 = control$ $N_1 = 50$ $N_2 = 100$ $N_3 = 150 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$



[2]

<u>Sowing time</u>: 1/6/04 (distance 0.50 m x 0.10 m)

Emergence 50%: 6/6/04

Flowering 50%: 15/10/04

Basal dressing of 50 kg P ha⁻¹ and 100 kg K ha⁻¹ at 29/5/2004

Top dressing when plant reached 50 cm height

Sub-harvests: 4/7, 21/7, 4/8, 22/8, 9/9, 3/10, 30/10, and 19/11



Task 2.3, Plant height (cm)



Rate of increase ?

Max 4.9 cm / day. See values (in cm d⁻¹) on the left graphic



Irrigation

Fertilization



Irrigation

Fertilization



Task 2.3, Dry stem Biomass (t ha⁻¹)





Growth Rate?

Max 275 kg / ha / day at 100% of PET interval, see left graphic 32





Cumulative water = Irrigation + Rainfall (June 1st – October 30th)



How efficiency the light convert into Biomass ?

Comparison 1st and 2nd year

<u>2003</u>

Planting earlier

Higher production

Higher plant height

LAI, SLA, the same

Ratio Dry Stem / Total Dry Biomass the same

Less water as irrigation

<u>2004</u>

Planting later

Lower production

Lower plant height

LAI, SLA, the same

Ratio Dry Stem / Total Dry Biomass the same

More water as irrigation

According to previous experimental results we conclude: The earlier the panting date the higher the biomass production

What about 2005 ?

Experimental year 2005



Kenaf crop on 4/5/05 Danalatos, N.G. and S.V. Archontoulis, 2005. Sowing time and plant density effects on growth and biomass productivity of two Kenaf varieties in central Greece. International Conference on Industrial Crops and Rural Development to be held in Murcia, Spain, 17-21 September 2005. (paper accepted)

Danalatos, N.G. and S.V. Archontoulis, 2005. Irrigation and N-fertilization effects on Kenaf growth and biomass productivity in central Greece. International Conference on Industrial Crops and Rural Development to be held in Murcia, Spain, 17-21 September 2005. **(paper accepted)**

Danalatos, N.G. and S.V. Archontoulis, 2005. Growth and biomass productivity of Kenaf as biomass crop in Greece. 14th European Biomass Conference and Exhibition: Biomass for Energy, Industry and Climate Protection. Palais des Conges, Paris, France, 17-21 October 2005. (abstract submitted)

Danalatos, N.G. and S.V. Archontoulis, 2005. Leaf photosynthesis of kenaf (variety Everglades 41) as affected by different light intensity and temperature regimes. 14th European Biomass Conference and Exhibition: Biomass for Energy, Industry and Climate Protection. Palais des Conges, Paris, France, 17-21 October 2005. (abstract submitted)

Questions will be answered by email

danal@uth.gr

ndana@aegean.gr

sotirios.archontoulis@wur.nl

sarchont@yahoo.gr

