

final meeting ATHENS, 26-27 February 2007



ENVIRONN MENT

INRA main involvement

• WP2: Adaptability and productivity field trials

- Task 2.2: Effect of different sowing dates and plant populations on biomass yields
- Task 2.3: Effect of irrigation and nitrogen fertilisation on biomass yields

• To provide data for:

- WP 3: Development of the crop growth simulation model
- WP 6: Environmental impact assessment and life cycle analysis of kenaf production and use
- WP 7: Economic analysis for the crop production chain





WP2: Adaptability and productivity field trials







1. Presentation of trial conditions





Trial location



Pedo-climate

- Climate: Oceanic temperate
 - Mean temperatures: 10.7℃
 - Mean rainfalls: 704 mm yr⁻¹
- Soil type: Ortic Luvisol (FAO classification)
 - Loamy soil (18 to 24 % of clay)
 - -4 to 10 meters depth
 - Potential yield of wheat : 10 tones of grain per hectare





2. Results





Trials and yields per year

	trial objectif	Total yield min-medium-max (t DM/ha)
2003	V*S*D	8.9- 11.0 -12.3
	I*N	7.5- 8.6 -9.2
2004	V*S*D	10.0- 10.5 -11.5
	Ν	8.3- 9.9 -11.2
2005	V	6.9- 11.0 -13.1
2006	Ν	8.5- 9.2 -10.5

- No statistical effect
- Statistical effect











Ash content depending on variety and sowing density







Dry matter yield depending on variety and sowing density



Cumulative temperature threshold 12°C

Sow ing 1 ; Everglades 41 ; 20 plants/m2 Sow ing 1 ; Tainung 2 ; 20 plants/m2 Sow ing 2 ; Everglades 41 ; 40 plants/m2 Sow ing 2 ; Tainung 2 ; 40 plants/m2













-No correlation for T2

-Positive correlation for E41

30pl/m²: limiting density is not reached

FOOD AGRICULTURE ENVIRONN MENT

Leaf/stem ratio evolution









No statistical difference between N0 and N1

So the Initial soil N content (100 kg/ha) was sufficient for the crop



Yield comparison over years



In northern France, Kenaf yield vary from 8 to 12 tones of dry matter per hectare











In September 2005, attack of **Gray Mold** *(Botrytis cinerea)* 30% of Tainung 2

LTURE ENVIRONN MENT

Kenaf root profile

Method: presence of roots noticed by using a grid of 1m² with squares of 2cm*2cm: root present or not





Taproot of the kenaf

FOOD A G R I C U L T U R E E N V I R O N N M E N T







Conclusions of the root exploration

- Roots sensitive to plow-pan and maybe to other structural accidents or compactions
- Deep exploration that reaches more than 1 meter
- Maybe explain that in France, for a yield of 10t per hectare, we have few response to irrigation and fertilisation





3. Conclusions







- **Variety**: there are few differences between varieties (T2, E41 and G4). G4 should be more adapted.
- Sowing date: there are no clear conclusions. A compromise must be found: not too early (low soil temperatures and high weed competition) and not too late (low soil moisture and too short growth cycle). The middle of May seems to be the best.
- **Sowing density**: there are few effects of density on the yield. So it can be a compromise between a higher cost and a faster development.





- **Irrigation:** the effect is not obvious probably because of the high soil water content. In northern France we should recommend no irrigation.
- Nitrogen: there is no response to the fertilisation. The soil nitrogen supply seems sufficient to achieve yields of about 10t/ha.



General conclusion

- In Northern France, the limiting factors are not water and nitrogen but temperatures and intercepted radiations
- The sustainable yield is about 10t/ha
- Some critical points:
 - Weed competition: some agronomic solutions
 - Fungal attacks: no solutions ?
 - Losses at emergence: few agronomic solutions ?

