




Demonstration of PRIMES-ELMAS Models in ETRES

N. Kouvaritakis, V. Panos, L. Mantzos, P. Capros
National Technical University of Athens

E^3M - Lab

ETRES Model Configurations



The PRIMES energy system model

1. Energy system model: mixed bottom-up (engineering) and top-down (microeconomic behaviors)
2. Modular, with separate modules for demand and supply by sector
3. Market-oriented: market equilibrium prices drive energy balancing of demand and supply per fuel and market
4. Detailed (for 34 countries) and comprehensive (whole of energy system, EU-wide networks)
5. Environment-oriented (climate change, links with RAINS for air pollution, links with special models for transport)
6. Policy-oriented for a large variety of instruments (subsidies, taxes, certificates, permit markets, R&D, ...)
7. Very detailed electricity sector sub-model

Recent work with PRIMES model

1. Since DG TREN publications ('Trends' and 'Key Drivers') a complete update of PRIMES database carried out
 - Eurostat statistics up to 2003 and available information for 2004, 2005
 - Revision of the power plant database, including information on new constructions and projects
 - Updated information on prices, taxes and tariffs
 - New database on electricity and gas interconnections and future projects
 - Updated information about renewables: potential, non linear cost curves, learning by doing, etc.
2. New improved electricity and steam sub-model: DC linear optimal power flow and investment expansion over a set of regional electricity markets
3. With DG TREN new projections for
 - Economic growth of the EU and sectoral structure
 - International fuel prices

The ELMAS Model

- In the context of the ETRES project NTUA has developed a high resolution (in terms of time) and detailed Electricity Market Simulator (ELMAS):
 - Necessary in view of imminent liberalisation of Greek electricity market:
 - Market structure will be radically different than present
 - This could be important for patterns of RES penetration with modifications in the policies promoting it.
 - Allows hourly load representation and individual plant and operator identification using detailed data obtained from actual operation

ELMAS model characteristics

- Very high temporal resolution (hourly) with load patterns and plant availability parameters obtained from actual data.
- Capability for identifying individual plants (for major units) or meaningful classifications of smaller ones (e.g. wind power plants).
- Detailed representation of costs and technical performance of different types of plant.
- Mechanism for simulating spot electricity markets assuming variants of the Nash-Cournot oligopolistic behaviour model

Key characteristics of ELMAS specification

- Size and cost structures of market agents are important in determining their behaviour.
- Clear emergence of market leaders and effective price takers.
- Only “short-term” marginal conditions are considered.
- ELMAS solves over a vast hourly sample (containing 61320 time periods) designed to represent the interaction of all relevant market parameters.
 - Sample created by using and analysing “real time” historical data and by design is both realistic and contains a host of typical as well as “extreme” configurations.
 - Capability of using ELMAS for risk analysis

Baseline assumptions concerning the electricity market in Greece

- By 2010:
 - Electricity market liberalised but PPC still dominates and regulated on a maximum rate of return basis
 - The islands are connected to the grid
 - Accelerated licensing of wind generators bringing total capacity to over 1.8 GW

Baseline scenario assumptions for Greece

- Demographic and macroeconomic


| | | | Annual % Change |
|--|------|-------|--------------------|
| | 2000 | 2010 | '00 - '10 |
| Population (Millions) | 10.6 | 11.1 | 0.5 |
| Household size (inhabitants/household) | 2.8 | 2.7 | -0.4 |
| Household income (in Euro00/capita) | 6820 | 10742 | 2.6 |
| GDP (BEuro'00) | 123 | 181 | 4.0 |
| Energy Intensive Manufacturing (Beuro'00) | 4 | 7 | 4.6 |
| Non - Energy Intensive Manufacturing (BEuro '00) | 9 | 13 | 4.0 |
| Services (BEuro '00) | 79 | 121 | 4.4 |
| Agriculture (BEuro '00) | 10 | 13 | 3.0 |

- Fuel prices including taxes in €00/toe

| | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 |
|-------------|------|------|------|
| Lignite | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Natural Gas | 216 | 230 | 226 |
| Fuel oil | 227 | 244 | 205 |
| Diesel | 459 | 602 | 554 |

Demand projection for 2010 in Greece


Demand increase from 2000 in GWh by use




$\frac{3}{4}$ of the total demand increase is attributed to end uses that accentuate the peaking characteristics of the load

Changes in annual load in Greece

Annual Load Curve




Load 2010 to load 2000 ratio




Electricity spot price distribution in 2010 in Greece

Electricity spot price in Euro00/MWh
(Mean: 78.7 , s.d. 26)




Electricity spot price cumulative distribution in 2010 in Greece




Electricity spot price per hour in 2010 in Greece


July working day




December working day



May Sunday



November Sunday



Climate Policy Scenarios

- **Baseline Scenario:**
 - Takes into account current policies and trends without including explicit effort to reduce CO₂ Emissions
- **Present Allocation Plan Scenario:**
 - Second trading period (2008-2012) NAPs remain unchanged relative to those specified for the first trading period (2005-2007)
 - NAPs only for the Emission Trading Sectors (ETS) – No constraints imposed to the Non Trading Sectors (NTS)
- **Optimal Allocation Scenario:**
 - PRIMES generates an Optimal Allocation of NAPs and optimal NTS targets
 - To do so, the scenario calculates equal marginal abatement costs for both ETS and NTS and across all member-states of the EU-25, so that the emission reduction target (in terms of CO₂ emissions) imposed by the Kyoto protocol to the EU-25 as a whole is achieved at least cost

Scope of the scenarios

- The two scenarios present alternative National Allocation Plans that could be applied for the Second Period (2008-2012) of the Emission Trading Scheme in the European Union
- Forecasts to 2010 based on the recently updated (November 2005) PRIMES Baseline Scenario
- Model results on scenarios are presented for 2010 (middle year of the next trading period)
- Imposition of emission constraints is equivalent to the inclusion of a shadow variable (marginal abatement cost) and represents the economic cost of avoiding the last (marginal) unit of carbon that is required by the constraint
- The constraint is applied only to CO₂ emissions
- The analysis covers the EU-25 region (with the exception of Cyprus and Malta)

Emissions and permit price in 2010 in EU-25

Market clearing price in in €/tn of CO₂


| Scenarios | Market Clearing Price |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Present Allocation Plan | 16 |
| Optimal Allocation | 41 |

CO₂ Emissions from Electricity Sector relative to Baseline
(in MtonCO₂)

| | Baseline | Present Allocation Plan | Optimal Allocation |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| CO ₂ Emissions | 1480 | 1374 | 1147 |
| Change from Baseline | | -106 | -333 |
| % Change from Baseline | | -7.20% | -22.50% |

Generation of Electricity by Type (EU25) in 2010

Change from Baseline (TWh)



New power capacity (GW) built by primary energy in EU-25 in 2010

| EU25 : New power capacity built by primary energy (2005-2010) | Baseline | Present Allocation Plan | Optimal Allocation | Change From Baseline | |
|---|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | Present Allocation Plan | Optimal Allocation |
| Coal and Lignite | 4.9 | 4.6 | 7.7 | -0.2 | 2.8 |
| Natural Gas | 80.1 | 84.0 | 108.6 | 4.0 | 28.5 |
| Petroleum products | 7.9 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| Biomass and Waste | 7.1 | 8.2 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 3.9 |
| Nuclear Energy | 2.48 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Wind Power | 41.8 | 49.7 | 62.2 | 8.0 | 20.4 |
| Hydroelectric | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Other (solar, geothermal, H2) | 0.9 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| Total EU 25 | 149.7 | 163.9 | 211.8 | 14.2 | 62.0 |

Implementation of the scenarios for the electricity market in Greece


- The PRIMES model has provided input to ELMAS concerning:
 - The carbon values that have resulted from the EU-wide emission permit market equilibrium
 - The changes in power plant investments resulting from the different scenarios
- An additional variant to the “Optimal Allocation” scenario which introduced for Greece involving a more rapid deployment of wind power

Fuel prices (including taxes) in €00/toe in Greece for power generation

| | Baseline | | | Present allocation plan | Optimal allocation |
|-------------|----------|------|------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 |
| Lignite | 78 | 78 | 78 | 145 | 248 |
| Natural Gas | 216 | 230 | 226 | 264 | 322 |
| Fuel oil | 227 | 244 | 205 | 257 | 337 |
| Diesel | 459 | 602 | 554 | 603 | 680 |

Impact of scenarios on generation costs in Greece

Ratio of full costs of lignite thermal plant to GTCC in 2010




*GTCC: Gas turbine combined cycle

Scenarios Comparison in 2010 (Greece)

| | | Changes from Baseline | | |
|---------------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | Present Alloc. | Optimal Alloc. | Higher wind variant |
| Final Demand | (%) | -3.4 | -6.3 | -6.5 |
| Imports | (GWh) | 400 | 581 | 567 |
| Exports | (GWh) | -264 | -501 | -478 |
| Average spot price | (%) | 14.7 | 28.1 | 28.8 |
| CO ₂ emissions | (Mtn) | -5.9 | -15.5 | -16.6 |
| Net Sales of permits | (Mtn) | 0.3 | 4.3 | 5.5 |

Decomposition of emissions reduction



Capacity and production implications in Greece in 2010

Investment in new plants



| Changes from Baseline in MW | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Present Alloc. | Optimal Alloc. |
| GTCC | 119 | 3421 |
| Wind | 348 | 487 |
| Biomass | 13 | 16 |
| Diesel | 0 | 255 |
| Supercritical oil | 0 | 694 |

For the “Higher wind” variant to the “Optimal Allocation” scenario an additional 1.3 GW of wind turbines has been introduced

Production fuel mix

| Changes from Baseline in TWh | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | Present Alloc. | Optimal Alloc. | Higher wind variant |
| Hydro | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lignite | -5.3 | -15.0 | -15.5 |
| Gas | 1.7 | 9.9 | 9.2 |
| Oil | -0.3 | -1.5 | -3.4 |
| Biomass | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Wind | 0.8 | 1.1 | 4.1 |
| Net Imports | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 |


Production per hour in 2010 – July working day in Greece



- IMPORTS
- BIOMASS
- DIESEL
- GAS OC
- HYDRO
- FUEL OIL
- GTCC
- LIGNITE
- WIND

Electricity spot price in Greece in 2010


Change in average electricity spot price per hour from baseline



The abatement scenarios affect base load electricity prices more severely

— Present Alloc. — Optimal Alloc. — Higher wind variant

Ratio of load in 2010 compared to Baseline for Greece



Electricity average production cost in 2010 (Greece)

